ABSTRACT

Poor die adhesion to substrate resulting to stray dice issue for the MEMS package was encountered. This die bond failure mechanism has a downstream effect specifically on the mold process. The presence of the stray die resulted to mold compound leakage outside the tool cavity due to planarity not achieved. The mold compound that leaks affected the overall package thickness, thus exposing the wires.

Using the Design of Experiment methodology, the team generated different simulations and validations to resolve the stray die problem. Based on the simulation and experiments, it was established that an optimum substrate roughness is necessary to achieve a better adhesion between the Die adhesive and the substrate.

This paper presents a systematic study on how the substrate roughness can improve the adhesion of Die Attach film (DAF) to the substrate thereby resolving the Stray Die problem. Results showed that the die adhesion strength on the substrate increases as the substrate surface roughness increased from Ra 0.05 um to Ra 1.5 ~ 2.0 mm. Consequently, the rejection rate of stray dice was eliminated. This new learning will be used to establish a standard on surface roughness for substrate-based material that can be applied to new packages.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Microelectromechanical System (MEMS), is the integration of mechanical elements such as sensors (Sensor Die) and actuators or ASIC Die (Application Specific Integrated Circuit) and electronics on a common silicon substrate through the use of microfabrication technology [1-6]. MEMS commercial applications provide a combination of mechanical functions (e.g., sensing, moving, and heating) and electrical functions (e.g., switching and deciding). These MEMS devices can be applied to the automotive, aeronautics, consumer, defense, industrial, medical, life science, and telecommunication industries this is according to the [7] Advance MEMS Packaging & [8]. An Introduction to Microelectromechanical Systems Engineering [9-12].

One of the major problems encountered, during the initial line stressing stage of the new product is poor adhesion of Sensor primary die on the substrate was experienced per lot. This resulted in a stray dice issue, which was never encountered on other variants of MEMS [13-15]. Hence, several simulations and validations to resolve the stray die issue were conducted. Based on simulation and experimental results, the optimum substrate roughness for respective cases was recommended for better die bonding.

Fig. 1. MEMS Package mechanical elements

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

During the initial line stressing stage for MEMS MV7U, the top pareto of defects is Stray die at 964 PPM. This is significantly higher compared with other MEMS devices.

An investigation was made on all MEMS devices running in the production to understand why this defect is happening only on the MV7U device. The Structural difference of MV7U among other MEMS devices is the Sensor dies. This device has the largest sensor die size among the MEMS devices. Stray die came from detached/lifted die on a substrate when uncured units were subjected to sudden impact.

Fig. 2. MEM MV7U Top Defect for Q1 2019
This die bond failure mechanism also affects succeeding processes [16,17,18]. The presence of stray die on the substrate side rail will produce a gap on the mold tool which will result in out-of-specs package thickness due to mold compound leakage on the substrate rail. A single unit reject at Die Attach will result in 1610x units reject after the Mold process (one whole strip will be rejected).

A process mapping was performed, and the Die Attach process is the focus of the study. Die to attach, also known as die bonding, is the process of attaching (or bonding) a die (or chip) to a substrate or another die. This process can take many forms and can be applied in different ways.

Die Attach Film (DAF) is the adhesive integrated with dicing film for thin wafers. It is commonly used for the MEMS package because of its uniform thickness, compatibility with the existing assembly process, and bleed-free characteristics.

Identifying Potential Causes From the critical steps identified in the process mapping the team utilizes the Cause and Effect Diagram (Fishbone Analysis). In a C&E Diagram, there were 5 identified potential factors or X's that may affect the output response on the Stray die.
In the next phase, a Validation Plan was made, a statistical test plan was prepared to help analyze statistically the contribution of the remaining 6 factors using statistical test Design of Experiment (DOE) factorial screening.

### 2.1 DOE Factorial Screening

From the identified 6 potential causes/ factors, a validation plan was performed using a Design of Experiment (DOE) Factorial Screening. This was to identify which among other potential causes of Stray Die would be Most Significant (see in Fig. 6). Screening is used to reduce the number of factors by identifying the Significant factors that affect the response. This reduction allows the experiment to focus on process improvement efforts on the few really important factors or the vital few.
Definitive Screening Design

Design Summary
Factors: 6  Replicates: 1
Base runs: 14  Total runs: 14
Base blocks: 1  Total blocks: 1
Center points: 2

To back up the DOE Factorial Screening result, Bond Delay based on Minitab Pareto and normal plots with P-value less than 0.05.

The most significant factors at 95% confidence level are narrowed down to 2. The following factors are significant Substrate Roughness and Bond Delay based on Minitab Pareto and normal plots with P-value less than 0.05.

2.2 Statistical Testing for Substrate Surface Roughness

To back up the DOE Factorial Screening result, we utilized state-of-the-art tools such as Profilometer and Laser Microscopy in the verification of surface roughness. A statistical test was done using a Two-Sample T-test for comparative analysis. Based on the result of measured roughness data, at a 95% confidence level, there is a significant difference in Surface Roughness measurement.

2.3 Statistical Testing for Die Shear Test Response

A statistical test was done in response to the Die Shear Test response using a Two-Sample T-test. Fig. 8 showed at a 95% confidence level that there is a significant difference between...
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A DOE Response Surface Measurement (RSM) was designed to locate the region of optimized Substrate Roughness and Bond Delay that will result in less Stray Die PPM.

Based on Minitab’s result, the p-value of the model and linear is at 0.000, indicating that the model can provide a significant linear relationship with the response. The p-value of the model and linear is at 0.000, indicating that the model can provide a significant linear relationship with the response. Based on Minitab, the following factors are significant: Substrate Roughness; Bond Delay, and the interaction of the main factor. The Lack-of-fit is significant indicates that the experiment was conducted in the area of the optimum.

The R square value of the model is at 98.17%, indicating that the model has a strong correlation with the response. The Coefficients and collinearity VIF values for all main factors and their interactions remain below, indicating that there is no multicollinearity.
A contour plot was generated to determine the optimum response between 2 factors Substrate Roughness and Bond delay. In this graph, light color green regions indicate lower Stray die PPM. And the contour profile it points out that optimum Substrate Roughness is from Ra 1.5 to 2.0 mm while the bond delay is 20ms to 100ms.

Implementation Result after new Substrate roughness criteria were identified through extensive DOE that mitigates the risk of Stray die defects and validations in terms of Quality aspects, large scale evaluations were made through Line Stressing to validate the effectiveness of the corrective actions. The implementation results of optimizing Substrate roughness showed Stray die issue was eliminated. The reject rate was reduced from 964 PPM to 0 PPM for the MEMS MV7U product.

4. CONCLUSION

Surface roughness played a significant role in the adhesion between DAF and Substrate. At a 95% confidence level, experiments indicate that the optimum substrate pad roughness for MV7U is between 1.5-2.0 mm. Implementation of the Roughened substrate solder mask yielded a good mechanical interlocking necessary for good adhesion thereby reducing Stray Die Defect. This new learning will be used to establish a standard on surface roughness for substrate-based material that can be applied to new packages.
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